Remarks

Election of Single Disclosed Invention

A restriction requirement has been imposed upon the Applicants under 35 U.S.C. 121. The Examiner has asserted that the Application is drawn to four distinct species (i.e., "inventions", as referred to by the Examiner), I through IV, respectively corresponding to: claims 1-13 (Invention I); claims 14-31 (Invention II); claims 32-36 (Invention III); and claims 37-40 (Invention IV) (Page 2 of Office action). Accordingly, the Applicants are required to elect a single invention for prosecution on the merits (Page 4 of Office action).

In response, the Applicants hereby elect Invention "I", corresponding to claims 1-13, and assert that those claims are hereby elected for prosecution under 35 U.S.C. 121.

Claims 14-40 are hereby withdrawn, without prejudice.

This election is being made without traverse.

The Applicant believes that the election of a single invention, as set forth herein above, constitutes a full and complete response to the Office action.

Respectfully submitted,

Philip H. Harding, Louis C. Barinaga,

John C. Greeven, Paul H. McClelland,

Joseph W. Tsang, and Makarand Gore

Date: November 18, 2005

John S. Reid

Attorney and agent for Applicant

Reg. No. 36,369

Phone: (509) 534-5789

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

S/N: 10/697,343 Case 100202933-1 Amendment "A" - Election of Single Species